DOI: 10.1515/plass-2015-0013

Amir Moshtaghi-Khavaran, Saeid Khomari^{*}, Naser Zare

Department of Agronomy and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences, University of Mohaghegh Ardabil, Ardabil, Iran; (*Corresponding author: saeid.khomari@gmail.com)

SOYBEAN SEED GERMINATION AND SEEDLING GROWTH IN RESPONSE TO DETERIORATION AND PRIMING: EFFECT OF SEED SIZE

ABSTRACT

A laboratory experiment was conducted to determine if separation of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] seeds by size might be effective in germinability of aged and primed seeds and subsequent seedling vigour. A known soybean seed lot was separated into four size classes using round-hole screens. The seed lots were deteriorated by rapid aging and invigorated by hydro- and halo-priming. These pre-treated seeds were planted in rolled paper towels and the results were evaluated according to ISTA rules. The small soybean seeds had higher speed of germination than the other size classes. The seedlings produced from large and medium seeds were longer and heavier than those from other size classes. Our results indicated that the large seeds had less sensitivity to short-term aging condition owing to the number of normal seedlings, while the deterioration more increased the germination time of large and medium seeds, compared to small ones. The alleviatory effects of halo-priming on deterioration of seeds are greater compared with hydro-priming. Although there are some debates, the present data further indicate that larger soybean seeds are susceptible to aging condition.

Key words: aging, germination, priming, seed size, soybean

INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.) is one of the most valued oilseed crops in the world (Singh and Shivakumar, 2010). In general, proper germination of seeds and seedling establishment are critical processes in the survival and growth cycle of field crops (Ellis and Roberts, 1981; Roberts and Osei-Bonsu, 1988; Basra *et al.*, 2003; Hadas, 2004). This is especially true in soybean cultivation, since these processes determine uniformity and density of crop stand, and the efficient use of the nutrients and water resources available to theindi-

Communicated by Grzegorz Żurek

vidual plants and ultimately affect the yield and quality of this important oilseed crop (Singh *et al.*, 2010). Seed deterioration is a major problem in crop production, which leads to the loss of seed and seedling vigour (Douglas, 1975; McDonald, 1976; Perry, 1980; Dourado and Roberts, 1984). Seed deterioration can be defined as deteriorative changes occurring with time that increase the seed's vulnerability to external challenges and decrease the ability of the seed to survive (Powell *et al.*, 1984; Priestley, 1986; McDonald, 2004).

Seed deterioration is inexorable, and the best that can be done is to control its rate. In general, it is accepted that repair of seeds influenced by deteriorative events occurs by priming (McDonald, 2004). Seed priming is a commercially used technique for improving seed germination and vigour (Khan et al., 1978; Kuc, 1978). It involves imbibition of seeds in water under controlled conditions to initiate early events of germination, followed by drying the seed back to its initial moisture content. Its benefits include rapid, uniform and increased germination, improved seedling vigour and growth under a broad range of environments resulting in better stand establishment (Beckers and Conrath, 2007; Varier et al., 2010). Dell'Aquila and Taranto (1986) demonstrated that primed embryos of aged wheat seeds have a faster resumption of cell division and DNA synthesis on subsequent imbibition. Rao et al. (1987) reported a reversal of chromosomal damage (induced during seed deterioration) with the partial hydration of lettuce seeds by osmo-priming. However, it is not clear whether seed size can influence both the deleterious effects of deterioration and the beneficial effects of priming on soybean germination characteristics and subsequent seedling growth.

Large seed size is widely thought to improve the chances for crop emergence under a wide range of environments. It is also generally considered that, within a seed lot, seeds with a greater seed weight have greater storage reserves and thereby have increased seed vigour (Powell, 1988; Lopez-Castaneda et al., 1996). The effect of seed size on early seedling growth has been addressed in many studies (Salim et al., 1985). But, there are some debates about the effect of seed size on germination characteristics in soybean. Singh et al. (1972) reported that there is no difference in the performance of different sized soybean seeds, while Aguiar (1974) found that medium sized soybean seeds are more vigourous than larger and smaller ones. In another study, Barkke and Gradner (1987) declared that small soybean seeds, in comparison with large ones, are more efficient in the mobilization of seed reserves. On the other hand, Morrison and Xue (2007) argued that large-seeded soybean, compared to small-seeded one, are superior in germination and vigour. As well, Harnowo (2004) has reported the same trend in soybean and suggested that the deterioration rate of larger seeds is higher and their storability is lower, compared to smaller ones. The main aim of this research was to determine whether the seed size is consistently associated with the effects of deterioration and priming on soybean seed germination and seedling development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seeds of soybean lot (cv. L_{17} ; provided by Iran Agriculture Organization) were separated into three size classes (large, medium and small) based on the seed diameter using round-hole screens. A part of the ungraded seed lot was regarded as the control mixed lot. Seeds with 15% moisture content from all four sub-samples were artificially deteriorated at 40°C through the rapid aging test (Roberts and Osei-Bonsu, 1988). A sub-sample was kept as control (non-deteriorated seeds) and the two other sub-samples were obtained after 3 and 4 days of aging, respectively. Distilled water and 75 mM NaCl solution was used to pre-treat each of 12 sub-samples (combinations of seed size and deterioration level) for 18 and 12 hours, respectively. The times of aging and hydro- and halo -priming and the proper concentration of the salt were determined by the pretests.

Laboratory tests were carried out as completely randomized design in the Seed Technology Laboratory of the University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Iran. Four replicates of 50 seeds were placed between moist paper towels and germinated in an incubator at 15 °C for 14 days. Germination (protrusion of radicle by 2 mm) was recorded in daily intervals. The germination rate for each treatment was calculated according to Ellis and Roberts (1981). Four replicates of 50 seeds were used in standard germination test (temperature of 25 °C and incubation time of 8 days). At the end of germination test, lengths of normal seedlings were measured and then they dried in an oven at 80 °C for 24 hours. The dried seedlings were weighed and the mean seedling length and dry weight for each treatment at each replicate was determined. Vigour index was calculated as the product of germination percentage by seedling length. Total soluble carbohydrate of seedlings was determined using anthrone reagent according to Roe (1995). The data were analysed by MSTATC and the means were compared using Duncan's multiple range test at P \leq 0.05. Excel software was used to draw the figures.

RESULTS

Significant differences in the percentage of viable seeds were found among seed deterioration levels and priming techniques. The viability of seeds was significantly decreased with increasing the deterioration of seed lots (Table 1). The seeds deteriorated for 3 and 4 days show about 7 and 13 % reduction in viability respectively, compared to non-deteriorated ones. The two priming techniques also significantly but negligibly increased the percentage of viability. The interaction of seed deterioration and priming for this trait was insignificant.

Parameter	Lot	Percentage of viable seeds [%]
	SD1	97.6a
Seed deterioration (SD)	SD2	90.8b
	SD3	85.3c
Priming techniques	control	90.3c
	hydro-priming	91.1b
	halo-priming	92.3a

The percentage of viable seeds affected by deterioration and priming of soybean seeds

Different letters indicating a significant difference at $p{\leq}\,0.05$

 SD_2 and SD_3 were the seed lots with 3 and 4 days aging respectively, compared to SD_1 as non-aged control.

Seedlings produced from large and medium seeds were significantly longer, heavier and vigourous than those from other two seed lots (Table 2). As expected, seed deterioration reduced the vigour index of seedlings. In contrast, pre -treatments of seeds especially halo-priming improved the vigour index in comparison with non-priming control. The length and the weight of seedlings from deteriorated and pre-treated seed lots were statistically the same.

Table 2

Table 1

Seedling length, dry weight and vigour index affected by deterioration and priming of different sized soybean seeds

Parameter	Lot	Seedling length [cm per seedling]	Seedling dry weight [mg per seedling]	Vigour index
Seed size	mixed lot (control)	23.9c	50.1b	16.8b
	large	26.0a	54.3a	19.5a
	medium	25.6ab	53.5a	18.9a
	small	24.2bc	50.7b	16.6b
Seed deterioration (SD)	SD1 (control)	-		29.8a
	SD2	-		18.8b
	SD3	-		7.1c
Priming techniques	non-priming (control)	-		16.7c
	hydro-priming	-		17.4b
	halo-priming	-		19.7a

Different letters indicating a significant difference at $p \le 0.05$.

The standard germination percentage of the most vigourous seed lot $(SD_1 \text{ or control})$ was not influenced by varying the size of the seeds (Fig. 1). While small seeds and seeds of mixed lot produced less normal seedlings than larger ones, when exposed to deterioration. As observed in small seeds under four days of aging, the normal seedlings percentage were decreased more than two-fold compared with non-aged seed lots.



As indicated in Fig. 2, hydro- and halo-priming had no significant promotive effects on the standard germination percentage of non-deteriorated seeds, compared to nonpriming (control). While, the percentage of normal seedlings from aged seeds were significantly influenced by the two priming techniques and especially increased by approximately 8% when the most deteriorated soybean seeds (SD₃, four days of aging) were pre-treated with NaCl solution, in comparison with the control.



Fig. 2. The standard germination percentage of soybean affected by seed deterioration and priming

Overall, the small soybean seeds germinated faster than other seed size classes with or without aging treatment (Fig. 3). On the other hand, the germination rate of large seed lot was significantly reduced compared to other lots, especially the most reduction was observed when these large seeds were deteriorated for four days using the rapid aging test.



Fig. 3. The germination rate of soybean affected by seed size and deterioration.

Pre-treatment of both aged and non-aged soybean seeds was led to increase the velocity of germination, significantly (Fig. 4). Undoubtedly, there was no considerable significant difference between the two priming techniques in reducing the time of the germination.





Hydro- and halo-priming could increase the length and the dry weight of soybean seedlings produced from the seeds of all three vigour levels, except those from SD_3 when pre-treated with distilled water (Fig. 5 and 6).



Fig. 6. The dry weight of soybean seedling affected by seed deterioration and priming. The seedlings from the large seeds were significantly heavier than those from

the other seed size classes (Fig. 7). However, the deteriorating conditions could decrease the seedling dry weight in all four seed lots, especially in small soybean seeds.



In spite of diminishing soluble carbohydrates in soybean seedlings produced from deteriorated seeds, pre-treatment of seeds especially halo-priming enhanced the sugar content of seedlings, significantly (Fig. 8). On the other hand, increase of artificially aging duration slightly caused to reduce the more relative advantage of seed pre-treatment with salt compared to non-primed control.



Fig. 8. The soluble carbohydrate content in soybean seedling affected by seed deterioration and priming.

DISCUSSION

Generally, our results indicated that the small soybean seeds had higher speed of germination than the other size classes (Fig. 3). Correspondingly, the superi-

ority of small and medium soybean seeds in rate of germination and emergence was cited in some literatures (Edwards and Hartwig, 1971; Aguiar, 1974; Hoy and Gamble, 1987). There are few data in the literature relating seed size to emergence rate as most studies relate seed size to total emergence. But, there are some disagreements on this respect: The investigations of Ahmad and Bano (1992) on sunflower and Hoy and Gamble (1985) and Sung (1992) on soybean showed that seed size has a direct effect on seed germination and seedling vigour and that small seeds have a lower germination rate. In contrast, others believe that small seeds germinate faster and radicle protrudes sooner in them (McDonald, 1999; Tomes et al., 1988). The general trend toward faster germination rates from small seeds observed in this research might be partially explained by the reduced mechanical resistance encountered during seedling emergence by the small seeds (Burris et al., 1973) and the low water imbibition time (Singh et al., 2009). On the other hand, Gardner et al. (2003) concluded that despite the rapid germination of small seeds than large ones, the difference in subsequent seedling growth is observable only in the early stages of development and after a while it will disappear.

The seedlings produced from large and medium seeds were longer and heavier than those from other two (mixed lot and small) size classes (Table 2). Although it has been reported that smaller soybean seeds are more efficient than large ones regarding reserves remobilization (Edje and Burris, 1971; Barkke and Gradner, 1987), higher germination percentage (Larsen and Andreasen, 2004) and longer and heavier seedlings from large seeds are due to their high reserves (Helm and Spilde, 1990) which may lead to increase yield of some crops (Lowe and Rise, 1973). Subsequent seedling growth after seed germination directly depends on the quantity and efficient mobilization of seed reserves (Westoby *et al.*, 1992; Soltani *et al.*, 2006).

Investigating the effect of aging on seed and seedling vigour, our results are in agreement with those of Jain *et al.* (2006) and Saha and Sultana (2008). Failure of aged seeds to germinate might be due to lipid peroxidation, mitochondrial dysfunction and less adenylate energy charge (McDonald, 1999; Basra *et al.*, 2003). Previously, Saha and Sultana (2008) indicated that deterioration reduces percentage and velocity of germination of soybean seeds. On the other hand, the extent to which seed size may influence exhaustive and invigourative effects of different pre-treatments (aging and priming) of seeds on subsequent seedling development and field establishment was proposed to be as one of the major questions in soybean production. As obtained from the present study, the large seeds had less sensitivity to short-term aging condition owing to the number of normal seedlings (Fig. 1), while the deterioration more increased the germination time of large and medium seeds, compared to small ones (Fig. 3). Accordingly, Harnowo (2004) has found that the deterioration of larger soybean seeds is greater than that of smaller ones. On the contrary, the deterioration reduced the dry weight of soybean seedlings produced from small-sized seed lot more than those from larger seeds (Fig. 7).

Our results indicated that halo-priming of soybean seeds significantly increased the seed viability, the germination percentage, the rate of germination, the length and the dry weight of seedlings and the total soluble carbohydrates in 8-day old seedlings, especially in seed lots that were imposed to the rapid aging (Tables 1 and 2 and Fig. 2, 4, 5, 6 and 8). McDonald (2004) discusses the ability of priming to overcome the low vigour effects that result from seed deterioration in aging and to improve the germination of aged seeds. In sunflower seeds, recovery of germinability of aged seeds by priming was associated with a lower rate of lipid peroxidation (Bailly et al., 2000). Priming also appears to increase germination metabolism in aged embryonic axes more than those that are not aged (McDonald, 1999). Yaklich (1985) reported that soluble sugars decreased with aging of soybean seeds. Bearing in mind too that priming of a given species may be conducted using a range of hydration and drying procedures and a spectrum of water potentials and durations, so it is perhaps not surprising that metabolic and cellular events have been found to differ (McDonald, 2004). Reversal of seed deterioration by priming generally occurs in the meristematic axis or the radicle tip, e.g., peanut (Fu et al., 1988). As we also observed in soybean seeds, Sivritepe and Dourado (1994) found that controlled humidification of aged pea seeds to 16.3 to 18.1 percent just prior to sowing decreases chromosomal aberrations, reduces imbibitional injury, and improves seed viability. In fact, the efficacy of osmopriming of low-vigour orthodox seeds is because repair processes occur while the seeds are held at water potentials that allow this metabolism but preclude germination (Bray, 1995).

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the results described here demonstrate that both hydro- and halo-priming especially the later improve the physiological quality of soybean seeds. The alleviatory effects of halo-priming on deterioration of seeds are greater compared with hydro-priming. The present data further indicate that larger soybean seeds are susceptible to aging condition. Further researches in soybean and other crop plant species are necessary to clarify whether the bene-fits of priming are absolutely influenced by seed size or vigour level, or both. In general, the interactive effects of seed size×aging and aging×priming on seed germination capability and seedling development indicate that the potential for large scale vigour improvement in soybean seed lots through processing or conditioning is not limited.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Authors are sincerely thankful to Seed Physiology and Technology laboratory of University of Mohaghegh Ardabili for providing lab facilities for the present research project.

REFERENCES

Aguiar P.A.A. 1974. Some relationships between seed diameter and quality in soybean. Mississipi state University, PhD Thesis.

Ahmad J., Bano M. 1992. The effect of sodium chloride on the physiology of cotyledon and mobilization of reserve food in Cicerarientinum. Pak. J. Bot. 24(1): 40-48.

- Anonymous. 2010. International rules for seed testing. International seed testing association (ISTA), Switzerland.
- Bailly C., Benamar A., Corbineau F., Come D. 2000. Antioxidant systems in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) seeds as affected by priming. Seed Sci. Res. 10: 35-42.
- Barkke M.P., Gradner F.P. 1987. Juvenile growth in pigeonpea, soybean, and cowpea in relation to seed and seedling characteristics. Crop Sci. 27(2): 311-316.

Basra S.M.A., Ahmad N., Khan M.M., Iqbal N., Cheema M.A. 2003. Assessment of cottonseed deterioration during accelerated aging. Seed Sci. Technol. 31(3):531-540.

Basra S.M.A., Rehman K.H., Iqbal S. 2000. Cotton seed deterioration: Assessment of some physiological and biochemical aspects. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 2(3): 195-198.

- Beckers G.J.M., Conrath U. 2007. Priming for stress resistance: from the lab to the field. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 10(4): 425-431.
- Begnami C.N., Cortelazzo A.L. 1996. Cellular alteration during accelerated ageing of French bean seeds. Seed Sci. Technol. 24: 295-303.

Bray C.M. 1995. Biochemical processes during the osmopriming of seeds. In Kigel, J. and Galili, G. (Eds.), Seed Development and Germination (pp. 767-789). New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc.

Bruggink G.T., Ooms J.J., Van der Toorn P. 1999. Induction of longevity in primed seeds. Seed Sci. Res. 9 (1): 49-53.

Burris J.S., Edge O.T., Wahab A.H. 1973. Effects of seed size on seedling performance in soybeans: II. Seedling growth and photosynthesis and field performance. Crop Sci. 13: 207-210.

- Copeland L.O., McDonald M.B. 1995. Principles of seed science and technology. Chapman and Hall, New York, USA.
- Dalling J.W., Harms K.E., Aizpru A.R. 1997. Seed damage tolerance and seedling resprout ability of Prioriacopaifera in Panama. J. Tropic. Ecol. 13: 617-621.
- Dell'Aquila A., Taranto G. 1986. Cell division and DNA synthesis during osmoconditioning treatment and following germination in aged wheat embryos. Seed Sci. Technol. 14: 333-341.
- Douglas J.E. 1975. Seed storage and packaging. In:FeistritzerWP, (Eds.).Cereal Seed Technol. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome.
- Dourado A.M., Roberts E.H. 1984. Phenotypic mutations induced during storage in barely and pea seed. Ann. Bot. 54: 781-790.
- Edje O.T., Burris J.S. 1971. Effects of soybean seed vigour on field performance. Agron. J. 63: 536-538.
- Ellis R.H., Agrawal P.K., Roose E.E. 1988. Harvesting and storage factors that affect seed quality in pea, lentil, faba bean and chickpea. In: Summerfield RJ (Eds.). Word crops: Cool Season Food Legumes, London.
- Ellis R.H., Roberts E.H. 1981. The quantification of aging and survival in orthodox seeds. Seed Sci. Technol. 9: 373-409.
- Farooq M., Shahzad M., Basra A., Wahid V., Ahmad A. 2010. Changes in nutrient-homeostasis and reserves metabolism during rice seed priming: consequences for seedling emergence and growth. Agric. Sci. China 9(2): 191-198.
- Foster S.A. 1986. On adaptive value of large seeds for tropical moist forest trees: a review and synthesis. Bot. Rev. 52: 260-299.
- Fu J.R., Lu X.H., Chen R.Z., Zhang B.Z., Liu Z.S., Ki Z.S., Cai C.Y. 1988. Osmo-conditioning of peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) seeds with PEG to improve vigour and some biochemical activities. Seed Sci. Technol. 16: 197-212.

Gardner F.P., Pearce R.B., Mitchell R.L. 2003. Physiology of crop plants,2th Ed. Scientific Publishers (India), 327 p.

- Ghassemi-Golezani K., Bakhshy J., Raey Y., Hossainzadeh-Mahootchy A. 2010. Seed Vigour and Field Performance of Winter Oilseed Rape (*Brassica napus* L.) Cultivars. Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot. Cluj. 38(3): 146-150.
- Hardegree S.P., Jones T.A., Van Vactor S.S. 2002. Variability in the thermal response of primed and nonprimed seeds of squirreltail [Elymuselymoides (Raf.) Swezey and elymusmultisetus (Smith JG.)Jones ME]. Ann. Bot. 89: 311-319.
- Harnowo D. 2004. Effect of time of harvest and seed size on seed quality of soybean. Universiti of Putra Malaysia, PhD Thesis.
- Harris D., Joshi A., Khan P.A., Gothkar P., Sodhi P.S. 1999. On-farm seed priming in semi-arid agriculture: development and evaluation in maize, rice and chickpea in India using participatory methods. Exp. Agric. 35:15-29.
- Helm J.L., Spilde L.A. 1990. Selecting quality seed of cereal grains. NDSU Extension Service, North Dakota State University of Agriculture and Applied Science, and U.S. Department of Agriculture Cooperating 701: 231-7881.
- Hoshizaki K., Suzuki W., Sasaki S. 1997. Impacts of secondary seed dispersal and herbivory on seedling survival in Aesculusturbinata. J. Veg. Sci. 8: 735-742.
- Hoy J.D., Gamble E.E. 1985. The effects of seed size and seed density of germination and vigour in soybean. Can. J. Plant Sci. 56:1-8.
- Huns J.L., Sung J.M. 1997. Antioxidant role of glutatnione associated with accelerated agina and hydration of tropical Waremelon seeds. Physiol. Plant. 100: 967-974.
- Jain N., Koopar R., Saxena S. 2006. Effect of accelerated ageing on seeds of radish (*Raphanus sativus* L.). Asian J. Plant Sci. 5: 461-464.

Khajeh-Hosseini M., Powell A.A., Bingham I.J. 2003. The interaction between salinity stress and seed vigour during germination of soybean seeds. Seed Sci. Technol. 31: 715-725.

Khan A.A., Tao K.L., Knypl S.J., Borkowska B., Powell L.E. 1978. Osmotic conditioning of seeds: Physiological and biochemical changes. Acta Hort. 83: 267-278.

Kitajimak K. 1996. Ecophysiology of tropical tree seedlings.In: <u>Mulkey SS, Chazdon RL, Smith AP (Eds.)</u>. Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Connecticut.

- Kuc J. 1978. Translocated signals for plant immunization. Ann. New Acad. Sci. 494(1): 221-223.
- Larsen S.U., Andreasen F. 2004. Light and heavy seeds differ in germination percentage and mean germination thermal time. Crop Sci. 44: 1710-1720.
- Lopez-Castaneda C., Richards R., Farquhar G., Williamson R. 1996. Seed and seedling characteristics contributing to variation in early vigour among temperate cereals. Crop Sci. 36: 1257-1266.
- Lowe L.B., Rise S.K. 1973. Endosperm protein of wheat seed as a deter minat of seedling growth. Plant Physiol. 51: 57-60.
- McDonald M.B. 1976. A review and evaluation of seed vigour tests. Proc. Assoc. Official Seed Anal. 65: 109-139.

McDonald M.B. 1999. Seed deterioration: physiology, repair and assessment. Seed Sci. Technol. 27: 177-237. McDonald M.B. 2000. Seed priming. In: Black M., Bewley J.D. (Eds.). Sheffield Academic Press.

- Mohammadi G.R. 2009. The effect of seed priming on plant traits of late-spring seeded soybean (*Glycine Max* L.). Am-Eur. j. Agric. 5: 322-326.
- Morrison M.J., Xue A.G. 2007. The influence of seed size on soybean yield in short-season regions. Can. J. Plant Sci. 87: 89-91.

Parera C.A., Cantliffe D.J. 1994. Pre-sowing seed priming. J. Hort. Rev. 16: 119-141.

Perry D.A. 1980. The concept of seed vigour and its relevance to seed production techniques. In: Hebblethwaite P.D. (Eds.). Seed production. Butterworths, London.

Powell A.A., Mattews S., Oliveira M. 1984. Seed quality in grain legumes. Adv. App. Biol. 10: 217-284.

Powell A.A. 1988. Seed vigour and field establishment. Adv. Appl. Biol. 10: 217-284.

Priestley D.A. 1986. Seed Ageing: Implications for Seed storage and persistence in the soil. Publisher Comstock Pub Assoc, 304 P.

Rao N.K., Roberts E.H., Ellis R.H. 1987. Loss of viability in lettuce seeds and the accumulation of chromosome damage under different storage conditions. Ann. Bot. 60: 85-96.

Roberts E.H., Osei-Bonsu K. 1988.Seed and seedling vigour. In: Summerfield R.J. (Eds.). World crops: Cool season food legumes. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht.

Saha R.R., Sultana W. 2008. Influence of seed ageing on growth and yield of soybean. Banglad. J. Bot. 37(1): 21-26.

Salim M.S., Hossain M., Mamun A.A., Sidiqu M.A. 1985. Yield of maize as affected by seed size and depth of planting . J. Agric. Res. 10(2): 136-141.

- Singh B.G. 1995. Effect of hydration-dehydration seed treatments on vigour and yield of sunflower. Ind. J. Plant Physiol. 38: 66-68.
- Singh I.N., Tripathi S.K., Negi P.S. 1972. Note on the effect of seed size on germination, growgh, and yield of soybean. Ind. J. Agric. Sci. 42:83-86.
- Singh N.I., Seema A., Chauhan J.S. 2009. Effect of seed size on quality within seed lot of pea and correlation of standard germination and vigour with field emergence test. Nature Sci. 7(4): 72-78.
- Sivritepe H.O., Dourado A.M. 1994. The effects of humidification treatments on viability and the accumulation of chromosomal aberrations in pea seeds. Seed Sci. Technol. 22: 337-348.
- Soltani A., Galeshi S. 2002. Importance of rapid canopy closure for wheat production in a temperate subhumid environment: experimentation and simulation. Field Crops Res. 77(1): 17-30.
- Soltani A., Gholipoor M., Zeinali E. 2006. Seed reserve utilization and seedling growth of wheat as affected by drought and salinity. J. Environ. Exp. Bot. 55: 195-200.
- Soltani A., Zeinali E., Galeshi S., Latifi N. 2001. Genetic variation for and interrelationships among seed vigour traits in wheat from the Caspian Sea coast of Iran. Seed Sci. Technol. 29(3): 653-662.
- Steiner J.J. 1990. Seedling rate of development index: indicator of vigour and seedling growth response. Crop Sci. 30: 1264-1271.
- Sung F.J.M. 1992. Field emergence of edible soybean seeds differing in seed size and emergence strength. Seed Sci. Technol. 20: 527-532.

Thompson K. 1987. Seeds and seed banks. New Phytol. 106(1): 23-34.

- Tomes L.J., Tekrony D.M., Egli D.B. 1988. Factors influencing the tray accelerated ageing test for soybeen seed. J. Seed Technol. 12: 17-53.
- Vieira C. 1966. Effect of seed age on germination and yield of field bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Aust. J. Agric. Res. 16: 396-398.
- Westoby M., Jurado E., Leishman M. 1992. Comparative evolutionary ecology of seed size. Trends Ecol. Evolution 7(11): 368-372.